Dialogues in Philosophy, Mental and Neuro Sciences

In collaboration with the Section Philosophy & Psychiatry
of the European Psychiatric Association

Crossing Dialogues Association

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The phenomenology of violence and dysphoria in schizophrenia: exploring psychopathological and phenomenological dimensions

Luís Madeira

Center for Bioethics and Medical Humanities, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon; Hospital CUF Discoveries, Lisbon; Catholic Medical School, Sintra (Portugal)

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the phenomenological dimensions of aggressive emotions and dysphoric states specifically within schizophrenia, emphasizing distinctive psychopathological alterations of emotional experience. From a phenomenological standpoint, emotions are embodied, intentional, spatially structured, and relational experiences. Schizophrenia significantly disrupts these emotional structures, resulting in fragmented, reactive aggression intertwined with dysphoric states such as irritability, anxiety, and pervasive emotional discomfort. By systematically reviewing phenomenological, clinical, and psychiatric literature, we highlight critical disruptions in emotional intentionality, embodiment, and normative context. Such understanding enhances clinical precision in diagnosis, informs therapeutic interventions, and provides ethical and legal clarity on issues of autonomy and responsibility. We propose that phenomenological insights into aggression and dysphoria in schizophrenia are crucial for developing targeted, ethically sound psychiatric interventions and legal assessments.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: March 31, 2025

Accepted: April 10, 2025

Firstly published online: May 09, 2025

KEYWORDS

Phenomenology, psychosis, aggressiveness, dysphoria, cognitive-behavioral therapy.

CORRESPONDENCE

Dr. Luís Madeira

Universidade Lisboa (Portugal)

Email: luismadeiramd@gmail.com

DIAL PHIL MENT NEURO SCI 2024; 17(2):59-64

Schizophrenia profoundly disrupts thought, perception, emotion, and behavior, significantly impacting interpersonal interactions and emotional regulation. Violence and aggression in schizophrenia pose substantial challenges in clinical management, ethical dilemmas regarding patient autonomy, and nuanced legal considerations around criminal responsibility (Novaco, 2011; Kennedy et al., 1992). Traditional nosological and psychopathological approaches often overlook subjective emotional experience, making phenomenological perspectives essential for capturing the nature of the disruptions of emotions that could add to the meaning of the behaviour (Schmitz, 2019; Szanto & Landweer, 2020).

From a phenomenological perspective, emotions are embodied, spatially structured phenomena that manifest through the lived body (*Leib*), experienced as dynamic bodily expansions or contractions (Schmitz, 2019; Landweer, 2019). Emotions are not mere internal states but intentional experiences directed toward specific triggers (anchoring points) and expressed intensely in specific areas (condensation areas) (Helm, 2001; Schmitz, 1990). Aggressive emotions such as anger, rage, envy, jealousy, contempt, resentment, hatred, and revenge illustrate distinct phenomenological structures in terms of bodily directionality and

normative implications (Landweer, 2020; Kolnai, 1935; Solomon, 1999; Griswold, 2013; Hughes & Warmke, 2017). Anger, for instance, acts as a directional force propelling outward against obstacles, arising from perceived violations or injustices and communicating displeasure or threat (Berkowitz, 1962, 1993; Feshbach, 1964, 1971; Bandura, 1973, 1983). Envy involves contracting tension toward something another possesses, carrying implicit hostility, while jealousy introduces a relational, triadic structure involving perceived threats to valued relationships. Contempt lacks direct aggression, manifesting instead through avoidance and superiority. Resentment is subtly aggressive, grounded in shame and perceived injustices, masked for social conformity. Hatred fixates intensely on targets often irrationally chosen, with shifting justifications. Revenge combines resentment and anger, organizing aggression toward retribution against perceived wrongdoers. Thus, aggressive emotions embody intentional, relational, and normatively embedded experiences with specific phenomenological configurations influencing subjective and interpersonal realities (Landweer, 2020; Helm, 2001; Schmitz, 2019).

However, mental illness such as schizophrenia might disrupts this phenomenological structure. Aggression becomes fragmented, impulsive, and lacks coherent intentionality, often experienced by patients as alien or externally imposed. This deepens emotional disorganization, eroding patients' sense of control and coherence (Schmitz, 1990; Helm, 2001). Aggression in schizophrenia often emerges reactively due to hallucinations or delusions, particularly persecutory delusions or command hallucinations (Kennedy et al., 1992). Phenomenologically, this aggression lacks a stable anchoring point or clear intentional direction, reflecting disrupted reality-testing and impaired executive regulation. Patients frequently perceive others as hostile, threatening, or persecutory, further amplifying reactive violence. Here also, dysphoric experiences, including pervasive anxiety, irritability, and emotional distress, often accompany aggression in schizophrenia, complicating clinical presentation. Phenomenologically, dysphoria manifests as a diffuse, overwhelming state without clear intentional anchors, making emotional regulation challenging. There are accounts of specific aggressive and dissociative states across different mental illnesses such as PTSD (Feeny, 2000) which are different from that in schizophrenia. Patients report feelings of discomfort, vague threat, or agitation without explicit cause, contributing to increased paranoia and reactive hostility. In schizophrenia, dysphoria alters bodily affectivity; emotions manifest diffusely, heightening sensitivity to negative social cues, perceived threats, and intensifying paranoid ideation (Schmitz, 1999; Landweer, 2019). Dysphoric states are experienced through increased bodily tension, restlessness, and agitation, further predisposing patients toward impulsive aggression. Thus, dysphoria and aggression become intertwined, each exacerbating the other, complicating clinical management significantly.

Clinically, aggression in schizophrenia frequently occurs alongside positive psychotic symptoms like hallucinations and delusions. Reactive violence typically lacks strategic or instrumental intent, emerging impulsively from psychotic interpretations of reality (Patrick, 2008; Novaco, 2011). Unlike structured aggressive acts seen in personality disorders, schizophrenia-related aggression occurs unpredictably, without clear premeditation, shaped by immediate perceptual disturbances. Phenomenologically informed assessment enhances diagnostic precision. Recognizing that aggression in schizophrenia differs qualitatively from

other psychiatric conditions allows clinicians to differentiate schizophrenia-related aggression from superficially similar symptoms in bipolar mania, personality disorders, or intermittent explosive disorder (Novaco, 2011; Kennedy et al., 1992, Coccaro, 2014). An example of such is of paranoid personality disorder in which aggression has key features that seem different from psychosis in schizophrenia (Bernstein, 2007).

These phenomenological understandings of emotional disruptions provide crucial guidance for therapeutic interventions in schizophrenia. Initial psychopharmacological treatment typically involves second-generation antipsychotics such as risperidone, olanzapine, or clozapine, effectively reducing dysphoric arousal, impulsivity, and the intensity of psychotic symptoms. By mitigating these disturbances, pharmacological treatment stabilizes emotional coherence and improves emotional intentionality, thereby creating a foundation for further psychological interventions (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Leucht et al., 2009). Building upon this pharmacological stabilization, psychological interventions — particularly cognitive-behavioral therapy for psychosis (CBTp) — can meaningfully integrate phenomenological insights by addressing core disruptions in emotional intentionality, embodiment, and the subjective meaning of psychotic experiences (Chadwick, 2006; Morrison et al., 2014; Nelson et al., 2020). From a phenomenological perspective, psychotic symptoms such as persecutory delusions or command hallucinations fragment the embodied and intentional structures of emotional experience, severely impairing reality-testing and disrupting the coherence between bodily affectivity and perceptual intentionality (Fuchs, 2015; Ratcliffe, 2017). CBTp explicitly targets these distorted appraisals by employing structured therapeutic dialogues, behavioral experiments, and reality-testing exercises that resonate closely with phenomenological principles of intentionality and bodily grounding of emotional states (Lincoln et al., 2012; Lysaker & Lysaker, 2020).

Through CBTp, patients progressively learn to critically examine distorted perceptions and embodied emotional reactions, systematically reconstructing stable intentional anchors for emotional coherence. For example, when patients experience neutral or ambiguous interactions as threatening due to persecutory delusions, CBTp helps them re-evaluate these experiences through guided reflection and reality-testing exercises, thereby transforming diffuse emotional responses into more accurately directed and embodied emotional engagements (Lincoln et al., 2012; Morrison & Barratt, 2010). This intentional anchoring directly addresses the bodily dimension of emotions, reducing dysphoric bodily tension and impulsive aggressive reactions by restoring a coherent emotional and perceptual framework (Ratcliffe & Broome, 2012). Moreover, CBTp fosters the development of a reflective stance toward one's embodied emotional experiences, aiding patients in recognizing emotions not as overwhelming and alien intrusions but as intentional, meaningful bodily phenomena. Through enhanced phenomenological awareness, deliberate cognitive restructuring, and active engagement with embodied emotional states, emotional coherence is gradually reinstated, significantly reducing psychosis-driven dysphoria and reactive aggression (Nelson et al., 2020; Lysaker et al., 2018). Consequently, therapists who explicitly integrate phenomenological perspectives into CBTp are well-positioned to address subjective disruptions comprehensively, significantly enhancing patient insight, emotional regulation, and long-term therapeutic outcomes.

Ethically, understanding the qualitative differences of aggression in schizophrenia — particularly altered emotional intentionality and impaired decision-making capacity — raise complex questions concerning autonomy and consent. Aggression frequently necessitates involuntary interventions, requiring clinicians to carefully balance patient autonomy with safety concerns, implementing coercive treatments only when ethically justified and minimally restrictive (Council of Europe, 2004; Szmukler, 2015). Legally, distinguishing fragmented, reactive aggression in schizophrenia from intentional violence is crucial for fair assessments of responsibility (including criminal sorts). This is different from what happens in psychopathic forms of aggression in which subjects act instrumentally (Duggan, 2007) and that must be considered in forensic assessments (Glenn, 2009). Phenomenological clarity can advocate diminished responsibility in forensic settings, accurately reflecting impaired volitional control and diminished intentionality. Therefore, detailed phenomenological assessments help ensure ethically sensitive and legally fair outcomes, promoting more compassionate judicial responses (Meloy, 2000; Kirshner & Nagel, 1996). Moreover, clear phenomenological articulation of disruptions of everyday emotion experience that underlie schizophrenia can also counter stigmatizing narratives stigma around schizophrenia, exacerbated by aggression-related stereotypes which pose significant barriers to patient recovery and social reintegration. By clarifying elements of psychopathology of aggression can result in a more accurate understanding of actual moral failing or criminal intent and can offer more empathetic societal responses and supportive policy frameworks.

CONCLUSION

A phenomenological approach to violence and dysphoria in schizophrenia reveals profound structural disruption of the everyday emotional structure, significantly enhancing clinical understanding, therapeutic efficacy, and ethical-legal clarity. Aggression in schizophrenia emerges as fragmented and reactive, intertwined with pervasive dysphoric states lacking clear intentional coherence or emotional anchors. Clinically, phenomenological insights improve diagnostic precision, therapeutic interventions, and long-term patient outcomes. Ethically and legally, phenomenological analyses support fairer assessments of autonomy, responsibility, and culpability. Finally, phenomenologically informed perspectives significantly contribute to stigma reduction, fostering more compassionate, understanding, and effective psychiatric care for individuals with schizophrenia. Future research should continue exploring these phenomenological dimensions, further integrating subjective experience into clinical, ethical, and forensic frameworks.

REFERENCES

Bandura A. (1973) Aggression: a social learning analysis. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Berkowitz L. (1993) Aggression: its causes, consequences, and control. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.

Bernstein DP, Useda D. (2007) Paranoid personality disorder. In; O'Donohue W, Fowler KA, Lilienfeld SO. (Eds.) Personality disorders: toward the DSM-V. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA: 377-398.

Chadwick P. (2006) Person-based cognitive therapy for distressing psychosis. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, England.

Coccaro EF, Lee R, McCloskey MS. (2014) Validity of the new DSM-5 intermittent explosive disorder criteria: relationship with impulsive aggressive behavior and functional impairment. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 58:147-150.

Council of Europe (2004) White paper on the protection of the human rights and dignity of people suffering from mental disorder, especially those placed as involuntary patients in a psychiatric establishment. Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg, France.

Duggan C, Howard R, Khalifa N. (2007) The role of 'high-risk' personality traits in offenders. In: Felthous AR, Sass H (Eds.) International handbook on psychopathy and law. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, England:133-150.

Feeny NC, Zoellner LA, Foa EB. (2000) Anger, dissociation, and posttraumatic stress disorder among female assault victims. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 13:89-100.

Feshbach S. (1964) The function of aggression and the regulation of aggressive drive. Psychological Review, 71:257-272.

Fuchs T. (2015) Pathologies of intersubjectivity in autism and schizophrenia. Journal of Consciousness Studies, 22:191-214.

Glenn AL, Raine A. (2009) Psychopathy and instrumental aggression: evolutionary, neurobiological, and legal perspectives. International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 32:253-258.

Goodwin FK, Jamison KR. (2007) Manic-depressive illness: bipolar disorders and recurrent depression (2nd Ed.). Oxford University Press, New York, NY.

Griswold CL. (2013) Forgiveness: a philosophical exploration. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.

Helm BW. (2001) Emotional reason: deliberation, motivation, and the nature of value. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.

Hofmann SG, Smits JAJ. (2008) Cognitive-behavioral therapy for adult anxiety disorders: a meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 69:621-632.

Hughes PM, Warmke B. (2017) Forgiveness, apology, and the moral community. Canadian Journal of Philosophy, 47:727-744.

Kennedy HG, Kemp LI, Dyer DE. (1992) Fear and anger in delusional (paranoid) disorder: the association with violence. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 180:627-630.

Kirshner LA, Nagel LE. (1996) Catathymic violence, dissociation, and adoption pathology: implications for forensic psychiatry. Bulletin of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law, 24:31-41.

Kolnai A. (1935) Der Ekel [On Disgust]. Jahrbuch für Philosophie und phänomenologische Forschung, 16:515-569.

Landweer H. (2019) The spatial character of emotions. In: Campe R, Weber J. (Eds.) Rethinking emotion: interiority and exteriority in premodern, modern, and contemporary thought Walter de Gruyter, Berlin:63.84.

Leucht S, Corves C, Arbter D, Engel RR, Li C, Davis JM. (2009) Second-generation versus first-generation antipsychotic drugs for schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. Lancet, 373:31-41.

Lincoln TM, Ziegler M, Mehl S, Rief W. (2012) Does the integration of cognitive behavioral therapy and phenomenology enhance the treatment of psychotic symptoms? A review. Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, 85:11-25.

Lysaker PH, Lysaker JT. (2020) Schizophrenia and alterations in self-experience: a comparison of six perspectives. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 46:331-340.

Nelson B, Sass LA, Škodlar B, Parnas J. (2020) Phenomenological psychopathology and phenomenological philosophy of psychiatry. In: Fulford KWM, Davies M, Graham G, Sadler JZ, Stanghellini G, Thornton T. (Eds.) The Oxford handbook of philosophy and psychiatry. Oxford University Press, Oxford:237-254.

Novaco RW. (2011) Anger dysregulation: driver of violent offending. Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology, 22:650-668.

Patrick CJ. (2008) Psychophysiological correlates of aggression and violence. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 363:2543-2555.

Ratcliffe M. (2017) Real hallucinations: psychiatric illness, intentionality, and the interpersonal world. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Schmitz H. (2019) New phenomenology: a brief introduction. Mimesis International, Sesto San Giovanni, Italy.

Szanto T, Landweer H. (2020) The Routledge handbook of phenomenology of emotion. Routledge, London.